Correlation Processing

- An Example Application of:
 - Lowpass Equivalent Signal
 - DFT-Based Processing
 - Decimation

MULTIPLE-PLATFORM LOCATION



TDOA/FDOA LOCATION



SIGNAL MODEL



DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL



DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL (continued)

Analytic Signals Model
Analytic Signal of Tx

$$\widetilde{s}(t) = E(t)e^{j[\omega_c t + \phi(t)]}$$
Analytic Signal of Rx

$$\widetilde{s}_r(t) = \widetilde{s}([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)$$

$$= E([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)e^{j\{\omega_c([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d) + \phi([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)\}}$$

Now what? Notice that $v \ll c \Rightarrow (1 - v/c) \approx 1$ Say v = -300 m/s (-670 mph) then $v/c = -300/3 \times 10^8 = -10^{-6} \Rightarrow (1 - v/c) = 1.000001$ Now assume $E(t) \& \phi(t)$ vary slowly enough that

$$E([1-v/c]t) \approx E(t)$$
For the range of v
of interest
$$\phi([1-v/c]t) \approx \phi(t)$$

Called Mallowballu

DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL (continued)

Narrowband Analytic Signal Model

$$\widetilde{S}_{r}(t) = E(t - \tau_{d})e^{j\{\omega_{c}t - \omega_{c}(v/c)t - \omega_{c}\tau_{d} + \phi(t - \tau_{d})\}}$$

$$= e^{-j\omega_{c}\tau_{d}}e^{-j\omega_{c}(v/c)t}e^{j\omega_{c}t}E(t - \tau_{d})e^{j\phi(t - \tau_{d})}$$
Constant Doppler Carrier Transmitted Signal's
Phase Shift Term LPE Signal
Term Term Term Time-Shifted by τ_{d}

Narrowband Lowpass Equivalent Signal Model

$$\hat{s}_r(t) = e^{j\alpha} e^{-j\omega_d t} \,\hat{s}(t - \tau_d)$$

This is the signal that actually gets processed digitally

ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY

Consider C-T view first for simplicity, then switch to D-T (Note: all signals are LPE signals, but we don't use "hat")

<u>Problem</u>: Given LPE $s_1(t) = s(t)$ & $s_2(t) = e^{j\alpha}e^{-j\omega_d t}s(t - \tau_d)$ for t $\in [0,T]$, compute an estimate of delay τ_d and doppler ω_d .

<u>Motivation</u>: Think about vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 :



<u>Note</u>: For notational purposes we will often use $s(t) = s_1(t)$

To measure θ_d : Let $V_2(\theta)$ be V_2 rotated clockwise by $\theta_{...}$ and for each θ compute $\langle V_1, V_2(\theta) \rangle = A(\theta)$ as a function of θ .

Note: $A(\theta)$ has a maximum at $\theta = \theta_d$, so we measure θ_d by **finding the peak of** $A(\theta)$.

ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)

Do the same thing with signals: Let $s_{\omega,\tau}(t) = e^{j\omega t}s_2(t+\tau)$

$$A(\omega, \tau) = \langle s_1(t), s_{\omega, \tau}(t) \rangle$$
$$= \int_0^T s_1(t) \overline{s_{\omega, \tau}(t)} dt$$
$$= \int_0^T s_1(t) \overline{s_2(t+\tau)} e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

We know from the inner product view that $|A(\omega,\tau)|$ has a maximum at $\omega = \omega_d$ and $\tau = \tau_d$. Also note:

$$\begin{vmatrix} A(\omega,\tau) \\ = \\ \begin{vmatrix} T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{vmatrix} = \\ Signal Energy$$

$$\Rightarrow |A(\omega_d,\tau_d)| = \\ \int_{0}^{T} |s(t)|^2 dt = E_s$$
Signal Energy

ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)



ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)

• Consider when $\tau = \tau_d$





• Consider when $\omega = \omega_d$



$$\left| A(\omega_d, \tau) \right| = \left| \int_0^T s(t) \,\overline{s(t - \tau_d + \tau)} \, dt \right|$$



COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION

Obviously we can only compute $|A(\omega,\tau)|$ for discrete values of ω and τ :

Need to know a priori values for:

Max/Min Doppler (from largest expected velocity difference) Max/Min Delay (from largest expected range difference) Delay Spacing (from expected/measured signal BW) Doppler Spacing (from observation time T)

Recall:
$$A(\omega, \tau) = \int_{0}^{T} s_{1}(t) \overline{s_{2}(t+\tau)} e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

View as a FT \rightarrow Implies Use of DFT
Define "lag-product" signal : $f_{\tau}(t) = s_{1}(t) \overline{s_{2}(t+\tau)}$

Thus, for each delay τ_m of interest :

$$A(\omega, \tau_m) = \mathscr{F}\{f_{\tau_m}(t)\}$$



Recall C-T result: For each delay τ_m :

$$A(\omega, \tau_m) = \mathscr{F}\{f_{\tau_m}(t)\}$$

Thus for D-T: For each delay index *m*: $A(k,m) = DFT\{f_m(n)\}$

 $= \mathrm{DFT}\{s_1[n]\overline{s_2[n+m]}\}$

So, computing the ambiguity function for *M* delay indices is nothing more than doing *M N*-pt. DFTs, one for each delay index





Each Column = Ambiguity Function A(k,m) for an *m* value

Problems

- 1. We end up computing as many doppler bins as there are signal samples this gives too many doppler bins
- 2. This usually requires a VERY large FFT
- 3. The range of doppler bins is from –Fs/2 to Fs/2, which is a far wider range than necessary.
- 4. The doppler spacing is too coarse: could fix with zero padding, but the FFT is already TOO long

Example: Signal RF BW = 2 MHz; Center Freq = 10 GHz; $F_s = 2.4$ MHz; Collect for T = 0.1 sec; Max Velocity, v = 300 m/s

- DFT Frequency Range = $-F_s/2$ to $F_s/2 = [-2.4/2, 2.4/2]$ MHz \rightarrow Freq Range = [-1.2, 1.2] MHz
- $|f_d| = f_c(|v|/c) \le 10^{10} \times 300/(3 \times 10^8) = 10 \text{ kHz}$ **max doppler = ±10 kHz** (Less Than 1% of Freq Range!!!)
- $N = F_s T = (2.4 \text{ MSPS})(0.1 \text{ sec}) = 240,000 \text{ samples}$ $\Rightarrow \# \text{ Samples} = 240,000 \Rightarrow \text{ FFT size} = 2^{18} = 262,144$
- DFT Spacing = F_s /FFT Size = $2.4 \times 10^{6}/262144$
 - → <u>doppler bin spacing = 9.2 Hz</u> (Need \approx 1 Hz spacing) Could get that using zero-padding but that would make an <u>8× longer FFT</u> having <u>2,097,152 points</u> !!!!!! (2.4 × 10⁶/(8×262144) = 1.1 Hz





Original Freq Range = [-1.2, 1.2] MHz max doppler = $10 \text{ kHz} \rightarrow \text{Digital Freq: } \pi(10 \text{ kHz}/1.2 \text{ MHz})$ $\rightarrow \text{Doppler Range} = [-0.008\pi, 0.008\pi] \text{ rad/sample}$

So we could filter $f_m(n)$ to $[-0.01\pi, 0.01\pi]$ and then decimate by M = 100 ($100 = \pi/0.01\pi$) New Rate: Fs = 2.4MHz/100 = 24 kHz



New # Samples after Decimating by 100: N = 240,000/100 = 2400 \rightarrow New # Samples = 2400 \rightarrow FFT size = $2^{12} = 4096$

DFT Spacing = New F_s /FFT Size = $24 \times 10^3/4096 = 5.9$

→ doppler bin spacing = 5.9 Hz (Still not 1 Hz!!)

But...Now <u>CAN</u> correct using zero-padding

→ zero-pad to <u>16384 point FFT</u>: spacing = $24 \times 10^3/16384 = 1.5$ Hz

Can live with that spacing

Decimation Filter Design

Could use standard design approach – but it is possible to use a very simple filter/decimation approach¹. This approach gains efficiency at the cost of performance, but the performance has been found to be satisfactory in most cases.

A simple length-L FIR LPF is made by having all coefficients set to 1's: $h(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The output is <u>simply computed</u> as the sum of L input samples:

 $y(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(n-l)$ **No Multiplies** Needed

^{1.} See Seymour Stein, "Algorithms for Ambiguity Function Processing," *IEEE Transactions* on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, June 1981, pp. 588 – 599.

Now, for a given length L, what is the frequency response of this filter? Well, that is nothing more than the DTFT of a <u>rectangular window of length L</u>, which we have seen to be: $H_L(\theta) = \frac{\sin(\theta L/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}$ See #2 on p. 167 of textbook See Table in Harris's Paper – First nulls at $\theta = \pm F_s/L$ Hz

- 3 dB points at $\theta = (0.89/2) F_s/L$ Hz
- Stopband height at first lobe is –13.5 dB
- Stopband rolls off at –6 dB/octave

We'll <u>pick L = M = 100</u> to get a 3-dB passband to cover the doppler range: (0.89/2) $2.4 \times 10^6 / 100 = 10.7$ kHz

Pre-Dec F_s

Post-Dec F_{s}

22/29

See #4 on p. 167 of textbook

See Table in

Harris's Paper

This looks like this for a length of L = 100



Shown over a very limited part of [-1.2 MHz, 1.2 MHz]

Zoom in to see passband better...



Now we decimate by factor M = 100 and what happens?

We get the <u>part of the signal that goes through the stopband</u> <u>aliased into the passband</u> (see Eq. (12.14) in the book). To get a rough idea of what impact this has we can <u>look at the filter's</u> <u>stopband response "aliased" back into the passband</u>.

This corresponds to plotting the frequency shifted versions $H((\theta - 2\pi m)/M)$ for integer *m* on top of $H(\theta)$ – again, see Eq. (12.14) in the book.

In Hz, these shifts are integer multiples of $F_s/M = 2.4$ MHz/100 = 24 kHz



When we do this we see that <u>**This Particular**</u> filter has a nice property that shifts the nulls to the center of the passband, thus minimizing the impact of aliasing, even though this filter is not really a very good lowpass filter!!



From this we see that in the middle of the doppler band we have very little impact from the aliasing, but at the edges of the doppler band there can be some severe aliasing. **Can we live with that?**

<u>That is a design decision</u>: we must trade computation vs. performance!!!

Now what impact does using this filter have on computing the ambiguity function? Recall how filtering is done for decimation: you move the filter coefficients ahead by M to get each decimated output. But for our case, the filter is length M so there is no overlap between consecutive placements of the coefficients: A Small *M* for

For Illustration: Use M = 4

Illustration!!







- Form matrix w/ columns of lag products
- Break into *M*-pt subblocks along columns
- Sum elements in each subblock

• Take DFT of each column of subblock sums

Without Decimation

With Decimation

$$A(k,m) = \mathrm{DFT}\{f_m(n)\}$$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_m(n) \ e^{j2\pi kn/N}$$

Example:

Computation per Delay Bin is:

• 2,097,152 point FFT

$A(k,m) \approx \mathrm{DFT}\{\hat{f}_m(n)\}$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{(N/M)-1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} f_m(nM+i)\right] e^{j2\pi kn/N}$$

Example:

Computation per Delay Bin is:

- (N/M) M-pt sums:
 - ▶ 2400 100-pt sums
- 16,384 point FFT

Using Eq. (5.23) and (5.24) for FFT Counts

Without Decimation

For Each Delay Bin:

- # Real Multiplies = 79,691,780
- # Real Additions = 127,926,274

With Decimation For Each Delay Bin:

- # Real Multiplies = 393,220
- # Real Additions = 1,135,362

Decimation Reduces Counts to < 1% of "No Dec" Values