## Ch. 14 Subband Coding

### Perfect Reconstruction Filterbanks

1

# **Perfect Reconstruction for 2 Channels**

Recall the general structure of subband coding:



<u>**To Design the Filters</u>**: Imagine removing the encoders/decoders... Then design so that the output is a "perfect reconstruction" of the input</u>

$$\hat{x}[n] = cx[n - n_o]$$
$$\hat{X}(z) = cX(z)z^{-n_o}$$

2

We'll limit here to M = 2 Channels...



FIGURE 14.17 Two-channel subband decimation and interpolation.

The "analysis" side filters are half-band LPF & HPF



**<u>Q</u>**: To ensure PR how do we choose:

<u>Analysis Filters</u>:  $H_1(z)$ ,  $H_2(z)$ 

<u>Synthesis Filters</u>:  $K_1(z)$ ,  $K_2(z)$ 

<u>Note</u>: If  $H_1(z)$ ,  $H_2(z)$ ,  $K_1(z)$  &  $K_2(z)$  are all <u>*ideal*</u> half-band filters then PR is easily achieved

But we can't build ideal filters... So is it even possible to <u>really</u> get PR????

### **Impact of Non-Ideal Filters**

#### **Stop-Band Issues**

<u>Analysis Filters</u>:  $H_1(z)$  &  $H_2(z)$  will leave some content outside their halfband passbands that gets aliased into the passband after decimation.

<u>Synthesis Filters</u>:  $K_1(z) \& K_2(z)$  will not completely eliminate the images created by upsampling that lie outside their half-band passbands.

#### **Pass-Band Issues**

<u>Magnitude</u>: For non-ideal filters the passbands are not perfectly flat and will change the shape of the signal's DTFT magnitude in the passband.

<u>Phase</u>: Because PR allows a delay and a delay corresponds to a linear phase response (as a function of frequency) it seems natural to focus on <u>linear phase filters</u> – which puts our focus on <u>FIR Filters</u>.

**Our Goal**: Choose filters such that the aliasing & imaging errors cancel out!!! (Fixes the stop-band issues)

Then... make what is left combine to give the desired composite passband to achieve the PR condition.

Let's see how to do this mathematically



Start at input & work toward the output using z-transform methods:

Top Channel (Bottom Channel Similar):

 $Y_1(z) = H_i(z)X(z)$  Filter

$$W_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2}Y_{1}(z^{1/2}) + \frac{1}{2}Y_{1}(-z^{1/2})$$
 Down Sampling  
$$= \frac{1}{2} \Big[ H_{1}(z^{1/2})X(z^{1/2}) + H_{1}(-z^{1/2})X(-z^{1/2}) \Big]$$

$$U_{1}(z) = K_{1}(z)V_{1}(z)$$

$$W_{1,n}(z) = V_{1,n}(z)$$

$$W_{1,n}(z) = W_{1}(z^{2})$$

$$W_{2,n}(z) = V_{2,n}(z^{2})$$

$$U_{1}(z) = K_{1}(z)V_{1}(z)$$

$$W_{1}(z) = K_{1}(z)V_{1}(z)$$

Now the output of the whole structure is:

$$\hat{X}(z) = U_1(z) + U_2(z)$$
 Summation



Substitute results for  $U_i(z)$  & Group X(z) terms & group X(-z) terms...





Similarly:  $K_2(z) = -H_1(-z) \rightarrow K_2(z) = -H_1(e^{j\pi}z) \rightarrow K_2(\Omega) = -H_2(\Omega + \pi)$ 

8

Once the *K*s are chosen this way we get

$$\hat{X}(z) = T(z)X(z)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left[ H_1(z)H_2(-z) - H_1(-z)H_2(z) \right]}_{\text{Want this}} X(z)$   
Want this =  $Cz^{-n_o}$  for PR

So the condition the *H*s must meet for PR is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_1(z)H_2(-z) - H_1(-z)H_2(z) \end{bmatrix} = Cz^{-n_o} \quad (\bigstar)$$
Note: the Ks are chosen to cancel aliasing  
the Hs are chosen to give PR

<u>**Comment</u>**: For compression we not only want to cancel aliasing but we often need to minimize it <u>in *each* channel</u>... which requires all filters to have sharp transition bands and low stop bands</u>

<u>Why do we need this</u>? Because in compression we often throw away some subbands (those having small energy)... and that upsets the balance used to cancel aliasing!

#### **Focus of Design Process**

So... our design process now focuses on designing the <u>analysis</u> filters  $H_1(z) \& H_2(z)$  so that they meet ( $\bigstar$ ) for PR

<u>Note</u>: The aliasing cancelation puts no constraint on the design of the filters... it only says: "if the analysis filters are *this*... then the synthesis filters must be *that*".

There are several design methods to get <u>analysis</u> filters  $H_1(z)$  &  $H_2(z)$  that give PR... various researchers have proposed these over the years.

We'll look at two:

- Quadrature Mirror Filters (QMF)
- Power Symmetric Filters
  - also called Conjugate Mirror Filters (CMF)

#### **Quadrature Mirror Filters (QMF)**

These were proposed in 1977 by Esteban & Galand

Their definition of QMF leads to:

- Useful filters for filterbanks
- <u>But</u>... not able to give PR (except in a trivial case)

**<u>QMF Definition</u>**: A pair of analysis filters are QMFs if

$$-z|_{z=e^{j\theta}} = e^{\pm j\pi} e^{j\theta}$$

$$= e^{j\theta\pm\pi}$$

$$H_{2}(\theta) = H_{1}(\theta\pm\pi)$$

$$MF \text{ Condition}$$

<u>Note</u>: Once  $H_1(z)$  is designed then the QMF condition nails down  $H_2(z)$ ... and remember that  $K_1(z)$  &  $K_2(z)$  are also nailed down by the ACC

So... enforcing QMF & ACC reduces the design problem to only designing  $H_1(z)$ 

#### **QMF "Facts"**

- 1. If  $H_1(z)$  is linear phase, so is  $H_2(z)$
- 2. QMFs can only achieve PR if the  $h_1[n]$  and  $h_2[n]$  each have only 2 non-zero "taps"
  - E.g.,  $h_1[n] = [1 1]$  or  $h_1[n] = [1 0 1]$  or  $h_1[n] = [1 0 0 1]$  etc.
  - <u>Note</u>: 2-tap Filters Stink! (See poor ½-band characteristics shown in Fig. 14.18)
- 3. If  $H_1(z)$  has linear phase then T(z)... the analysis/synthesis total transfer function... also has linear phase.



$$T(z) = Cz^{-n_o} \implies T(\Omega) = Ce^{-j\Omega n_o}$$

So... for QMF (w/ # taps > 2) we can't get the amplitude part of PR:



#### **QMF Design Process (One Way to Do It)**

1. Once we get a design for the Analysis Filters... the  $H_i(\Omega)$ ...



3. Enforce the QMF relationship...

$$H_{2}(z) = H_{1}(-z) \quad \text{``QMF''}$$

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left[ H_{1}(z) \right]^{2} - \left[ H_{1}(-z) \right]^{2} \right) \quad \text{depends } \underline{only} \\ \text{on } H_{1}(z) !!$$



4. Once you have a  $H_1(\Omega)$  that minimize  $J_{\alpha}$  for your chosen  $\alpha$ , then use it to generate all the other filters...

$$H_{2}(z) = H_{1}(-z)$$
 "QMF"  

$$K_{1}(z) = H_{2}(-z) = H_{1}(z)$$
  

$$K_{2}(z) = -H_{1}(-z)$$
 "ACC"

#### **Power Symmetric FIR Filters (or Conjugate Mirror Filters)**

#### This *Does* Allow PR!!!

We'll get rid of aliasing the same way as before:

$$K_1(z) = H_2(-z)$$
 &  $K_2(z) = -H_1(-z)$  "ACC"

Error in Book in (14.75)

This gives S(z) = 0 (as before) and gives (as before)

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ H_1(z) H_2(-z) - H_1(-z) H_2(z) \Big]$$
 Error in Book

Now... here is the new condition to use instead of QMF:

Only Use  
Odd N  

$$H_2(z) = (-z)^{-N} H_1(-z^{-1})$$
 "CMF Z-D"  
where  $N =$  "Order" of the FIR filter  $H_1(z)$   
**Recall**: FIR has  $h_1[n] = 0$  for  $n \neq 0, 1, 2, ..., L-1$   
Length = L Order = Length  $-1 = L-1$ 

Can show that "CMF" is equivalent to

$$h_2[n] = (-1)^n h_1(N-n)$$

**"CMF T-D"** 

For Order-N FIR with Odd N...

Using "CMF Z-D" in T(z) gives:

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^{-N} \left[ H_1(z) H_1(z^{-1}) + H_1(-z) H_1(-z^{-1}) \right]$$
$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} R(z)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} z^{-N} \left[ R(z) + R(-z) \right]$$

Want = constant for PR

Recall: 1. If 
$$H_1(z) \leftrightarrow h_1[n]$$
 then  $H_1(z^{-1}) \leftrightarrow h_1[-n]$   
2.  $F(z)G(z) \leftrightarrow f[n]^*g[n]$   
So... since  $R(z) = H_1(z)H_1(z^{-1})$   $\longrightarrow$   $R(z) \leftrightarrow \rho[n] = h_1[n]^*h_1[-n]$ 



Note: 
$$\rho[n] = 0$$
 for  $|n| > N$   
 $\rho[-n] = \rho[n]$  (even symmetry)

So... (recalling that N is odd)...  

$$R(z) = \rho[N]z^{N} + \rho[N-1]z^{N-1} + \dots + \rho[1]z^{1} + \rho[0] + \rho[1]z^{-1} + \dots + \rho[N]z^{-N}$$

$$R(-z) = -\rho[N]z^{N} + \rho[N-1]z^{N-1} - \dots - \rho[1]z^{1} + \rho[0] - \rho[1]z^{-1} + \dots - \rho[N]z^{-N}$$
Cancel Cancel Cancel Cancel

Odd-Indexed Terms Cancel when R(z) & R(-z) are added



To convert this into Freq. Domain: 
$$\mathcal{F}\left\{\rho[2n]\right\} = \mathcal{F}\left\{C\delta[n]\right\} \quad (A)$$
DTFT of  
decimated  
sequence
$$\mathcal{F}\left\{\rho[2n]\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\left[R\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{2}\right) + R\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}-2\pi}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$\Omega \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[R\left(\Omega\right) + R\left(\Omega - \pi\right)\right] \quad (B)$$
Now since  $R(z) = H_1(z) H_1(z^{-1})$  The DTFT form is  $R(\Omega) = H_1(\Omega) H_1(-\Omega)$   
 $= H_1(\Omega) H_1^*(\Omega)$ 
From (A) – (C) we get: 
$$|H_1(\Omega)|^2 + |H_1(\Omega - \pi)|^2 = C$$
Freq-Domain  
Requirement  
for B

for PR



Filters satisfying this are called...

"Power Symmetric Filters" or "Conjugate Mirror Filters"

For Design Details... See Books on Filter Banks

# **Perfect Reconstruction for M Channels**

There are two ways to get PR for M > 2 Channels:

- 1. Extend all previous results to general M > 2 case
  - Same basic ideas but much more complicated
  - See books on Filter Banks
- 2. Cascade 2-Channel Stages...

#### **Analysis Side of 3-Stage, 8-Channel PR Filter Bank**



22

#### Design a 2-Channel PR Filterbank... Get M Channel PR:



After "removal" of Center:



So... a cascade followed by the reverse cascade "collapses" to give M-Channel PR

What do the various channels in a cascaded analysis filter bank look like?

Can be shown that each channel has transfer function that looks like this (for the 3-stage case):



The cascade method is useful but has a limitation:

If  $H_i(z)$  has order N, then  $H_i(z^2)$  has order 2N, and  $H_i(z^4)$  has order 4N.

... and then the cascade of them has order N + 2N + 4N Book has error... uses <u>**BUT**</u>.... You only have N degrees of freedom in "choosing all those" Book has error... uses product here instead of add

#### **Bit Allocation**

Same ideas as for Bit Allocation for TC....

Each subband has its own quantizer and you want to allocate bits to the quantizers